

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. .	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/697,820	97,820 10/29/2003		Robert Cochran	100203007-1	9527		
22879	7590	06/07/2006	EXAMINER				
HEWLET	T PACK	ARD COMPAN	LU, KUEN S				
P O BOX 2	272400, 34	04 E. HARMONY					
INTELLE	CTUAL PF	ROPERTY ADMII	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
FORT CO	LLINS, C	O 80527-2400	2167				
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			,820	COCHRAN ET AL.					
			er	Art Unit					
		Kuen S	. Lu	2167					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on t	the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 29 October 20	003.						
•	•) This action is							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[7]	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ▼ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ▼ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.									
10)23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Information Pape	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTonation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Por No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/2003.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/697,820

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

This Action is responsive to Applicant's Application, filed October 29, 2003.
 Please note Claims 1-32 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed October 29, 2003 is considered as electronically signed PTO-1449 attached.

Drawings

3. The drawings filed October 29, 2003 have been accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4.1. Claims 1, 9, 17, 22 and 28 are rejected because the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted or a well-established utility. The claims are lack of patentable utility.

As per claims 1, 9, 17, 22 and 28, which claim database system, articles of manufacturer and storage elements, for transferring or mirroring logical objects to remote storage in a database application. However, there is no tangible use or useful result asserted by the limitations of the claims and an ordinary skilled in the art clearly would neither appreciate the claimed invention nor know how to use the subject matter as claimed. For example, as Examiner specifically noted, that "enable destaging of the logical object to the storage on receipt of all fragments" in each claim does not produce

Application/Control Number: 10/697,820 Page 3

Art Unit: 2167

useful result because "enable destaging" is not the same as "destaging" and does not necessarily perform a destaging step.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- **5.1.** Claim1, 9, 17, 22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a an asserted utility or a well established utility.
- **5.2.** Claims 1, 9, 17, 22 and 28 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6.1. Claims 1, 9, 17, 22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The claim is generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. It appears to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. In the claims, concerning the device, process, protocol or code "capable of" performing some

function or being executable, it is ambiguous where the function is actually performed or the protocol is actually executed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7.1. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baird:
Oracle 8i Data Guard Concepts, Administration, and Installation Guide, Release 3.0,
October 2001, Oracle® (hereafter "OraDgd") in view of Bobrowski et al.: Oracle7™
Server Concepts, Release 7.3, February 1996, Oracle® (hereafter "Ora734").

As per claim 1, OraDgd teaches "A database system capable of executing a database application that transfers a logical object in multiple fragments, the database system" (See Fig. 1-1 and Pages 1-8 and 1-9 wherein archived redo logs of a production database are shipped to standby database site and applied database changes to the standby database) comprising:

"a main storage site" (See Page 1-16 disk space on both production and standby database sites are monitored); and

"a remote storage site capable of linking to the main storage site and mirroring information stored in the main storage site, the remote storage site including a storage and a cache sidefile" (See Page 1-35 OraDgd teaches a remote mirror callout feature allowing mirroring of online redo logs and at Pages 1-33 and 1-34 where a cache file is implemented at the standby database site to store information for restarting and rolling back failover and switchover).

OraDgd does not explicitly teach that the remote cache sidefile is "divided into a plurality of array sidefile recordsets".

However, Ora734, at Pages 22-17 and 24-15, teaches log writer writing commit record immediately into redo log buffer where atomic write of a database transaction record is assigned with an entry number, the system change number and each redo log file includes a plurality of transaction records.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention was made to combine the teaching of Ora734 with the OraDgd reference by utilizing cache file for storing and mirroring online redo logs because both references are directed to database implementation and the combined teaching would have efficiently achieved a no-data-loss failover since online redo logs are mirrored at the remote database site for being available anytime to be applied to the standby database in order to synchronize standby database with production database (See OraDgd: Page 1-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,820 Page 6

Art Unit: 2167

The combined teaching of the Ora734 and OraDgd references further teaches the following:

"a main protocol executable on the main storage site and capable of including information indicative of logical object fragment commencement and completion in the multiple fragment database application transfer" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 shipper at the production host site ships archived redo logs to the standby database site wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery); and "a remote protocol executable on the remote storage site and capable of controlling the cache sidefile to cache the multiple fragments as received and to enable destaging of the logical object to the storage on receipt of all fragments" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 Applier at the remote standby database site applies changes from each archived redo logs to the standby database wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery).

As per claim 9, OraDgd teaches "An article of manufacture" (See Fig. 1-1 and Page 1-8 where Oracle8i Data Guard Architecture is an article of manufacture" comprising:

"a controller usable medium having a computable readable program code embodied therein for executing in a database system that runs a database application for mirroring a logical object in multiple fragments from a main storage site to a remote storage site, the computable readable program code" (See Pages 1-8, 1-9 and 1-35 where database is replicated to remote standby database site and OraDgd further teaches a remote mirror callout feature allowing mirroring of online redo logs) further comprising:

"a code capable of causing the controller to interface with the database application that links and mirrors data between the main storage site and the remote storage site, the remote storage site including a storage and a cache sidefile" (See Page 1-35 OraDgd teaches a remote mirror callout feature allowing mirroring of online redo logs and at Pages 1-33 and 1-34 where a cache file is implemented at the standby database site to store information for restarting and rolling back failover and switchover).

OraDgd does not explicitly teach that the remote cache sidefile is "divided into a plurality of array sidefile recordsets".

However, Ora734, at Pages 22-17 and 24-15, teaches log writer writing commit record immediately into redo log buffer where atomic write of a database transaction record is assigned with an entry number, the system change number and each redo log file includes a plurality of transaction records.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention was made to combine the teaching of Ora734 with the OraDgd reference by utilizing cache file for storing and mirroring online redo logs because both references are directed to database implementation and the combined teaching would

have efficiently achieved a no-data-loss failover since online redo logs are mirrored at the remote database site for being available anytime to be applied to the standby database in order to synchronize standby database with production database (See OraDgd: Page 1-24).

The combined teaching of the Ora734 and OraDgd references further teaches the following:

"a code capable of causing the controller to create and deploy control information indicative of logical object fragment commencement and completion in the multiple fragment database application transfer" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 shipper at the production host site ships archived redo logs to the standby database site wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery);

"the control information controlling the cache sidefile to cache the multiple fragments as received and to enable destaging of the logical object to the storage on receipt of all fragments" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 Applier at the remote standby database site applies changes from each archived redo logs to the standby database wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery).

As per claim 17. OraDgd teaches "An article of manufacture" (See Fig. 1-1 and Pages 1-8 and 1-9 wherein archived redo logs of a production database are shipped to standby database site and applied database changes to the standby database) comprising:

a controller usable medium having a computable readable program code embodied therein for executing in a database system that runs a database application for mirroring a logical object in multiple fragments from a main storage site to a remote storage site, the computable readable program code" (See Pages 1-8, 1-9 and 1-35 where database is replicated to remote standby database site and OraDgd further teaches a remote mirror callout feature allowing mirroring of online redo logs) further comprising:

"a code capable of causing the controller to control storage of the logical object multiple fragments in a cache sidefile" (See Page 1-35 OraDgd teaches a remote mirror callout feature allowing mirroring of online redo logs and at Pages 1-33 and 1-34 where a cache file is implemented at the standby database site to store information for restarting and rolling back failover and switchover).

OraDgd does not explicitly teach that the remote cache sidefile is "divided into a plurality of array sidefile recordsets".

However, Ora734, at Pages 22-17 and 24-15, teaches log writer writing commit record immediately into redo log buffer where atomic write of a database transaction record is assigned with an entry number, the system change number and each redo log file includes a plurality of transaction records.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,820 Page 10

Art Unit: 2167

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention was made to combine the teaching of Ora734 with the OraDgd reference by utilizing cache file for storing and mirroring online redo logs because both references are directed to database implementation and the combined teaching would have efficiently achieved a no-data-loss failover since online redo logs are mirrored at the remote database site for being available anytime to be applied to the standby database in order to synchronize standby database with production database (See OraDgd: Page 1-24).

The combined teaching of the Ora734 and OraDgd references further teaches the following:

"a code capable of causing the controller to receive the logical object in multiple fragment transfers in combination with control information indicative of logical object fragment commencement and completion" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 shipper at the production host site ships archived redo logs to the standby database site wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery); and "a code capable of causing the controller to cache the multiple fragments as received and to enable destaging of the logical object to the storage on receipt of all fragments" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 Applier at the remote standby database site applies changes from each archived redo logs to the standby database wherein the time archive log file

created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery).

As per claim 22, OraDgd teaches "A storage element readable by a controller tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the controller to perform method acts for executing in a database system that runs a database application for mirroring a logical object in multiple fragments from a main storage site to a remote storage site" (See Pages 1-8, 1-9 and 1-35 where database is replicated to remote standby database site and OraDgd further teaches a remote mirror callout feature allowing mirroring of online redo logs), the method acts comprising:

"controlling storage of the logical object multiple fragments in a cache sidefile" (See Page 1-35 OraDgd teaches a remote mirror callout feature allowing mirroring of online redo logs and at Pages 1-33 and 1-34 where a cache file is implemented at the standby database site to store information for restarting and rolling back failover and switchover).

OraDgd does not explicitly teach that the remote cache sidefile is "divided into a plurality of array sidefile recordsets".

However, Ora734, at Pages 22-17 and 24-15, teaches log writer writing commit record immediately into redo log buffer where atomic write of a database transaction

record is assigned with an entry number, the system change number and each redo log file includes a plurality of transaction records.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention was made to combine the teaching of Ora734 with the OraDgd reference by utilizing cache file for storing and mirroring online redo logs because both references are directed to database implementation and the combined teaching would have efficiently achieved a no-data-loss failover since online redo logs are mirrored at the remote database site for being available anytime to be applied to the standby database in order to synchronize standby database with production database (See OraDgd: Page 1-24).

The combined teaching of the Ora734 and OraDgd references further teaches the following:

"receiving the logical object in multiple fragment transfers in combination with control information indicative of logical object fragment commencement and completion" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 shipper at the production host site ships archived redo logs to the standby database site wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery); and

"caching the multiple fragments as received and to enable destaging of the logical object to the storage on receipt of all fragments" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 Applier at the

remote standby database site applies changes from each archived redo logs to the standby database wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery).

As per claim 28, OraDgd teaches "A storage element readable by a controller tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the controller to perform method acts for executing in a database system that runs a database application for mirroring a logical object in multiple fragments from a main storage site to a remote storage site" (See Pages 1-8, 1-9 and 1-35 where database is replicated to remote standby database site and OraDgd further teaches a remote mirror callout feature allowing mirroring of online redo logs), the method acts comprising: "interfacing with the database application that links and mirrors data between the main storage site and the remote storage site, the remote storage site including a storage and a cache sidefile(See Page 1-35 OraDgd teaches a remote mirror callout feature allowing mirroring of online redo logs and at Pages 1-33 and 1-34 where a cache file is implemented at the standby database site to store information for restarting and rolling back failover and switchover).

OraDgd does not explicitly teach that the remote cache sidefile is "divided into a plurality of array sidefile recordsets".

However, Ora734, at Pages 22-17 and 24-15, teaches log writer writing commit record immediately into redo log buffer where atomic write of a database transaction record is assigned with an entry number, the system change number and each redo log file includes a plurality of transaction records.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention was made to combine the teaching of Ora734 with the OraDgd reference by utilizing cache file for storing and mirroring online redo logs because both references are directed to database implementation and the combined teaching would have efficiently achieved a no-data-loss failover since online redo logs are mirrored at the remote database site for being available anytime to be applied to the standby database in order to synchronize standby database with production database (See OraDgd: Page 1-24).

The combined teaching of the Ora734 and OraDgd references further teaches the following:

"creating and deploying control information indicative of logical object fragment commencement and completion in the multiple fragment database application transfer" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 shipper at the production host site ships archived redo logs to the standby database site wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery);

"the control information controlling the cache sidefile to cache the multiple fragments as received and to enable destaging of the logical object to the storage on receipt of all fragments" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 Applier at the remote standby database site applies changes from each archived redo logs to the standby database wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery).

As per claims 2, 18 and 29, the combined teaching of the Ora734 and OraDgd references further teaches "the main protocol includes information indicative of logical object fragment commencement and completion using a technique selected from among a group" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 shipper at the production host site ships archived redo logs to the standby database site wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery) including:

"(1) explicitly identifying starting and ending fragments" (See Ora734: Pages 12-5, 22-6 and 24-15 where log writer writes system change numbers to on-line redo log which may be explicitly used to reconstruct all changes made to the database), and

(2) implicitly indicating either of the starting fragment and the ending fragment" (See Ora734: Page 22-7 where log writer writes switches to next online redo log file when current file is filled which implicitly indicating a minimum and a maximum system change numbers in each file).

As per claims 10 and 23, Ora734 further teaches "creating control information indicative of logical object fragment commencement and completion using a technique selected from among a group" (See Ora734: Page 22-7 where system change numbers along with transaction entries are created in the online redo log) including:

"(1) explicitly identifying starting and ending fragments" (See Ora734: Pages 12-5, 22-6 and 24-15 where log writer writes system change numbers to on-line redo log which may be explicitly used to reconstruct all changes made to the database), and "(2) implicitly indicating either of the starting fragment and the ending fragment" (See Ora734: Page 22-7 where log writer writes switches to next online redo log file when current file is filled which implicitly indicating a minimum and a maximum system change numbers in each file).

As per claims 3 and 11, Ora734 further teaches "an address translation process that translates a logical address to a list of physical addresses" (See Ora734: Page 6-9 where rowid of a database record translate the logical address of a record into physical address).

database record).

As per claims 4, 12 and 24, Ora734 further teaches "an address translation process that resolves a virtual write address of the database application into a pick list of actual physical media writes associated with the logical object" (See Ora734: Page 6-9 where rowid is assigned to

As per claims 5, 13 and 25, Ora734 further teaches "a process capable of creating a control message for communication to the remote protocol that instructs individual physical storage elements to operate on the multiple physical writes as a single object entity so that all or none is destaged to the storage" (See OraDgd: Page 1-20, 1-21, 4-18 and 4-19 where messages are sent to production and standby databases when normal mode commands are processed, applier invokes shipper to ship archived logs, applier check archived logs and applied the logs to the standby database).

As per claims 6, 14 and 26, the combined teaching of the Ora734 and OraDgd references further teaches the following:

"a process capable of receiving an application request to write the logical object of a specified length to a specified virtualized storage address" (See OraDgd: Page 1-28 where all archived redo logs and online redo logs are applied to the database, and Ora734: last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 system change numbers of redo log entries and time may be specified for the length of database recovery);

Application/Control Number: 10/697,820

Page 18

Art Unit: 2167

database recovery).

"a process capable of converting the virtualized write address and resolving the transfer length to designate at least one physical address in at least one physical storage device for transferring the logical object in fragments" (See OraDgd: Pages 1-16 and 2-7 where archived log files are compressed and shipped to standby database site, the standby database site uncompressed the file and applies the file to standby database); "a process capable of sending a first control message to the at least one physical storage device that delineates the start of a logical object that is to be held in a remote mirror cache for destaging" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 shipper at the production host site ships archived redo logs to the standby database site wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734 reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for database recovery); and "a process capable of sending a second control message that delineates the end of the logical object so that the mirror cache is destaged to the at least one physical storage device, no portion of the logical object fragments being otherwise destaged" (See OraDgd: Page 1-9 shipper at the production host site ships archived redo logs to the standby database site wherein the time archive log file created is indicated to and part of file attributes, and further at last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 of Ora734

reference teaches that archived log is a copy of online redo logs preserving system

change number of each entry, along with time data of the entry, serving as bases for

As per claims 7, 15, 20, 27 and 31, Ora734 further teaches "information is replicated from the main storage site to the remote storage site using a technique selected from among a group including: (1) synchronous data replication and (2) asynchronous data replication" (See Pages 15-3 and 24-5 where synchronous data replication and asynchronous I/O operations are supported).

As per claims 8, 16, 21 and 32, the combined teaching of the Ora734 and OraDgd references further teaches "the logical object multiple fragments are controllably destaged in all-or-none fashion to all devices in a consistency group" (See OraDgd: Pages 1-28 and 2-34 where shipper and applier work consistently and all archived redo logs and online redo logs are applied to the database, and Ora734: last paragraphs of Pages 22-17 and 24-15 system change numbers of redo log entries and time may be specified for the length of database recovery).

As per claims 19 and 30, the combined teaching of the Ora734 and OraDgd references further teaches "a code capable of causing the controller to track order of fragment updating between the main storage site and the remote storage site including updating of the sidefile recordsets" (See OraDgd: Page 1-20, 1-21, 4-18 and 4-19 where messages are sent to production and standby databases when normal mode commands are processed, applier invokes shipper to ship archived logs, applier check archived logs and applied the logs to the standby database, and Ora734: Page 22-7

where system change numbers along with transaction entries are created in the online redo log).

Conclusions

- 8. The prior art made of record
- U. Baird: Oracle 8i Data Guard Concepts, Administration, and Installation Guide, Release 3.0, October 2001, Oracle®
- V. Bobrowski et al.: Oracle7™ Server Concepts, Release 7.3, February 1996, Oracle®

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

- A. U.S. Patent Application 2004/0230859
- B. U.S. Patent 6,735,636
- C. U.S. Patent 6,636,908

Contact information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuen S Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 am-5:00 pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone pre unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application/Control Number: 10/697,820 Page 21

Art Unit: 2167

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Page 13 published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kuen S. Lu

Patent Examiner

May 31, 2006

JOHN R. COTTINGHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER